

State of California

SECRETARY OF STATE

I, DEBRA BOWEN, Secretary of State of the State of California, hereby certify:

That, Stephanie Hickerson was, on July 17, 2014, a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgment or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants, transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the office or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

In Witness Whereof, I execute
this certificate and affix the
Great Seal of the State of
California this 21st
day of July 2014.

No. 329/KONS/LEG/VII/2014
Seen by Consulate General of the Republic
of Indonesia in Los Angeles, California (USA).
On. JUL 21 2014

For the purpose to authenticate the signature

of DEBRA BOWEN Secretary of State, State of CALIFORNIA

Authentication of signature doesn't cover the
content of the document



Secretary of State

BY

Qian Xu

OSP 12 128262

State of California



SECRETARY OF STATE

I, DEBRA BOWEN, Secretary of State of the State of California, hereby certify:

That, Stephanie Hickerson was, on July 17, 2014, a duly commissioned, qualified and acting NOTARY PUBLIC, in the State of California, empowered to act as such Notary in any part of this State and authorized to take the acknowledgment or proof of powers of attorney, mortgages, deeds, grants, transfers, and other instruments of writing executed by any person, and to take depositions and affidavits and administer oaths and affirmations in all matters incident to the duties of the office or to be used before any court, judge, officer, or board.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the seal affixed or impressed on the attached document is the official seal of said Notary Public and it appears that the name subscribed thereon is the genuine signature of the person aforesaid, his (or her) signature being of record in this office.

In Witness Whereof, I execute
this certificate and affix the
Great Seal of the State of
California this 21st
day of July 2014.



Debra Bowen

Secretary of State

BY

Dian Xu

NP-24 A (REV. 1-07)

OSP 12 128262

NEGARA BAGIAN CALIFORNIA

Sekretaris Negara Bagian California

Saya, DEBRA BOWEN, Sekretaris Negara Bagian California, di sini menyumpah dan menjamin:

Bahwa, Stephanie Hickerson, pada tanggal 17 Juli 2014 berposisi sebagai Notaris yang sah dan berkwalifikasi di Bagian Negara California, berkuasa sebagai Notaris di seluruh wilayah Negara Bagian ini, berwenang untuk menerima dan menyaksikan surat kuasa, akte tanah, akte hibah, akte lain dan surat lain, yang diajukan oleh setiap orang, untuk menerima dan menyaksikan deposisi dan affidavit dan keterangan di bawah sumpah dengan semua hal berhubungan tugas seperti yang diperlukan karena posisi ini, dan untuk dipakai atau diajukan dengan setiap hakim, petugas, atau dewan.

Saya MENJAMIN SELANJUTNYA bahwa segal yang ditempel di surat terlampir di sini adalah segal sah Notaris tersebut diatas, dan bahwa tanda tangan di surat ini ternyata tanda tangan asli dari Notaris tersebut di atas, sesuai dengan tanda tangan yang disimpan di arsip kantor ini.

Keterangan dijamin dengan membuat


akte ini dan menempel Segal Negara Bagian California pada tanggal 21 July 2014.

Debra Bowen
Sekretaris Negara Bagian California
Oleh
Qian Xu

COPY CERTIFICATION BY DOCUMENT CUSTODIAN

I, Michael Patrick Donnelly, of legal age, being first duly sworn, hereby swear (or affirm) that the attached

Judgement, Declaration, Order After Hearing, and Abstract of Judgement of Case No KD073003 in the California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, East District
are true and complete original documents.




Signature of document custodian (affiant)

State of California, County of Los Angeles

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this 17th day of July, 2014 by

Michael Patrick Donnelly

Proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person who appeared before me.



Notary Public Signature



(Notary Seal)

AKTE JAMINAN OLEH YANG MEMEGANG DOKUMEN

Saya, Michael Patrick Donnelly, dengan umur dewasa, telah memberi sumpah, di sini menyumpah atau menerangkan bahwa yang terlampir disini:

Putusan, Deklarasi, Perintah Setelah Sidang, dan Ringkasan Putusan di kasus nomor KD073003 di Pengadilan Atas California, County Los Angeles, East District

adalah dokumen-dokumen benar dan lengkap.

TTG

Bagian Negara California, County of Los Angeles

Dijelaskan dan disumpah di depan saya hari ini tanggal 17 Juli 2014 oleh

Michael Patrick Donnelly

Terkenal pada saya dari bukti yang sah dan lengkap,

TTG

Stephanie Hickman
Tanda Tangan Notaris

EXEMPLIFICATION

Petitioner: **NI MADE JATI**
Respondents: **MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY**

CASE NUMBER:
**** KD073003****


STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I, CHARLES W. McCOY Jr., Presiding Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles do hereby certify that JOHN A. CLARKE is Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (which is a court of record having by law a seal); that the signature to the foregoing certificate and attestation is the genuine signature of the said JOHN A. CLARKE as such officer, that the seal annexed thereto is the seal of said Superior Court, that said JOHN A. CLARKE as such officer is the legal custodian of the original records or documents described and referred to in the foregoing certificate; is the proper officer having the authority to execute and said certificate and attestation, and such attestation is in due and proper form according to the laws of the State of California.

Executed at Los Angeles, California on **NOVEMBER 5, 2009**


PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES


STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES



LACIV 111 (Rev. 01-09)
LASC Approved 04-04

I, DEAN C. LOGAN, Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk of the County of Los Angeles, State of California, the same being a public entity having by law a seal, do hereby certify that CHARLES W. McCOY Jr., whose name is subscribed to the foregoing certificate of due and proper attestation was, at the time of signing same, Presiding Judge of the Superior Court aforesaid and was duly commissioned, qualified and authorized by law to execute said certificate. And I do further certify that the oath of office, or a true and correct copy thereof, of the judge above named is on file or of record in my office, that I am well acquainted with his handwriting, and verily believe the signature of the said judge to the said certificate to be genuine.

Executed and Seal of Said Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk Affixed at
Los Angeles, California on **NOVEMBER 5, 2009**


REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

EXEMPLIFICATION

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. Certified Family Law Specialist Kendall & Gkikas, LLP. 143 Harvard Avenue, Second Floor Claremont, CA 91711 TELEPHONE NO.: 909-482-1422 FAX NO.: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	FOR COURT USE ONLY ORIGINAL FILED OCT 01 2009 EAST DISTRICT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pomona, CA 91766-3229 BRANCH NAME: East District	
PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	
JUDGMENT	CASE NUMBER: KD 073003

1. ☐ This judgment ☐ contains personal conduct restraining orders ☐ modifies existing restraining orders.
 The restraining orders are contained in item(s): _____ of the attachment.
 They expire on (date): _____ A CLETS form must be attached.
2. a. This matter proceeded as follows: ☐ Default or uncontested ☐ By declaration ☒ Contested
 b. Date: 3/17/09 Dept.: B Room:
 c. Judicial officer (name): SUSAN LOPEZ-GISS ☐ Temporary judge
 d. ☐ Petitioner present ☒ Attorney present (name): JULIE DUNCAN
 e. ☒ Respondent present ☒ Attorney present (name): THOMAS E. KENDALL
 f. **Petitioner** (1) ☐ The petitioner appeared without counsel and was advised of relevant rights.
 (2) ☐ The petitioner signed *Advisement and Waiver of Rights Re: Establishment of Parental Relationship* (form FL-235).
 (3) ☐ The petitioner is married to the Respondent, and no other action is pending.
 (4) ☐ The petitioner signed a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity.
 (5) ☐ There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, juvenile, or adoption court case.
 g. **Respondent** (1) ☐ The respondent appeared without counsel and was advised of relevant rights.
 (2) ☐ The respondent signed *Advisement and Waiver of Rights Re: Establishment of Parental Relationship* (form FL-235).
 (3) ☐ The respondent is married to the Petitioner, and no other action is pending.
 (4) ☐ The respondent signed a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity.
 (5) ☐ There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, juvenile or adoption court case.
 h. Other parties or attorneys present (specify): _____
3. **THE COURT FINDS**
 Name: NI MADE JATI ☒ Mother ☐ Father
 Name: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY ☐ Mother ☒ Father
 are the parents of the following children:
Child's name Date of birth
 SEAN DONNELLY 3/17/93
 BRENDEN DONNELLY 9/17/94

4. THE COURT ORDERS

- a. ☒ Child custody and visitation are as specified in one or more of the attached forms:
 (1) ☐ *Child Custody and Visitation Order Attachment* (form FL-341)
 (2) ☐ *Stipulation for Order for Child Custody and/or Visitation of Children* (form FL-355)
 (3) ☒ Other (specify): ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT

PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI

CASE NUMBER:

RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY

KD 073003

5. THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS

- a. ☒ Child support is as stated in one or more of the attached:
- (1) ☐ *Child Support Information and Order Attachment* (form FL-342)
 - (2) ☐ *Stipulation to Establish or Modify Child Support and Order* (form FL-350)
 - (3) ☒ Other (specify): ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT
- b. Both parties must complete and file with the court a *Child Support Case Registry Form* (form FL-191) within 10 days of the date of this judgment. Thereafter, the parents must notify the court of any change in the information submitted, within 10 days of the change.
- c. The form *Notice of Rights and Responsibilities—Health Care Costs and Reimbursement Procedures and Information Sheet on Changing a Child Support Order* (form FL-192) is attached.
- d. ☐ The last names of the children are changed to (specify):
- e. ☐ The birth certificates must be amended to conform to this court order by
- (1) ☐ adding the father's name.
 - (2) ☐ changing the last name of the children.
- f. ☒ Attorney fees and costs are as stated in the attachment.
- g. ☐ Reasonable expenses of pregnancy and birth are as stated in the attachment.
- h. ☒ Other (specify): SEE ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT

☒ Continued on Attachment 3h. ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT

6. Number of pages attached: 6

Date: XXXXXXXXXXXX

JUDICIAL OFFICER

☒ SIGNATURE FOLLOWS LAST ATTACHMENT

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

NOTICE: Any party required to pay child support must pay interest on overdue amounts at the "legal" rate, which is currently 10 percent.

1 Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. (State Bar #157891)
2 *Certified Family Law Specialist*
3 *California State Bar Association*
4 *Board of Legal Specialization*
5 *Kendall & Gkikas, LLP*
6 P.O. Box 877, 143 Harvard Ave, 2nd Floor
7 Claremont, CA 91711
8 (909) 482-1422
9 Attorney for: Michael Donnelly

6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, EAST DISTRICT
8

Case No. KD 073003

9 In re the Matter of:

10 NI MADE JATI,

11 Petitioner,

12 vs.

13 MICHAEL DONNELLY,

14 Respondent.
15

ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT

16 WHEREAS: This matter came on for Trial on March 17, 2009 at 8:30 AM in
17 Department B of the above-entitled court, the Honorable Susan Lopez-Giss, Judge presiding. The
18 Respondent having appeared with counsel and Petitioner having not appeared, but represented by
19 Julie Anne Duncan for Petitioner, Thomas E. Kendall for Respondent, and Patrick Barnitt having
20 not appeared for the minor children and for good cause shown, it is hereby ordered as follows:

21 1. The Court finds that the Respondent and the Petitioner are the parents of two
22 minor children, Sean Wayan Donnelly, born 3/17/93 and Brenden Surya Donnelly, born
23 9/17/94.

24 2. The court finds that these children were born subsequent to marriage here in Los
25 Angeles, said marriage being in 1985.

26 3. The court grants Respondent sole legal and sole physical custody of said minor
27 children, Sean Wayan Donnelly and Brenden Surya Donnelly.

28 4. Petitioner shall have only monitored visitation with the minor children and she
29 shall pay 100% of the costs.

Attachment to Judgment -I-

1 5. The Petitioner shall not remove the minor children from the County of Los
2 Angeles, the State of California and/or the country of the United States without the signed
3 written and notarized consent of the Respondent or further order of this court.

4 6. Minor's counsel, Patrick Barnitt shall return the children's passports to the
5 Respondent.

6 7. Respondent may travel with the minor children out of the State of California and
7 out of the Country of the United States without further consent or court order.

8 8. This court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the

9 Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (Part 3 of the California Family Code
10 commencing with section 3048).

11 9. Any reference in this document to a minor child refers to any minor child listed
12 above unless otherwise specified.

13 10. The home state and habitual residence of each minor child for all purposes,
14 including interstate and international child custody jurisdiction is California.

15 11. Both parties have been given notice and opportunity to be heard as provided by
16 the laws of the State of California.

17 12. The country of habitual residence of the child in this case is the United States. If a
18 party violates this order, the party may be subject to civil or criminal penalties, or both.

19 13. Minor's counsel, Patrick Barnitt is relieved.

20 14. Minor's counsel may submit a fee declaration to this court and this court orders
21 that the Petitioner shall pay any and all additional minor's counsel fees owing to Mr. Barnitt.

22 15. The Petitioner shall reimburse to the Respondent the sum of \$1,333 that he
23 already paid to Mr. Barnitt for minor's counsel fees on or before July 1, 2009.

24 16. As and for attorney fees, costs and sanctions the Petitioner shall pay to the
25 Respondent \$30,000 on or before July 1, 2009.

26 17. The Petitioner shall pay the Respondent as and for child support the sum of
27 \$4,029 for Sean Wayan Donnelly, born 3/17/93 and \$6,719 for Brenden Surya Donnelley, born
28 9/17/94 for a total of \$10,747 per month commencing June 1, 2008 payable one-half on the 1st
29 and one-half on the 15th days of each month and continuing monthly thereafter until the each

1 minor child reaches the age of eighteen (18) and graduates from high school or obtains the age of
2 nineteen (19) or until further order of the Court, whichever occurs first.

3 18. The Respondent's request for modification of the order made as to support
4 previously is thus denied and the prior order as to child support shall remain in full force and
5 effect.

6 19. This is a permanent order as to custody, visitation, support and attorney fees.

7 20. Upon submission by Respondent to Petitioner's counsel of an itemized list of all
8 costs related to the deposition, including, but not limited to hotel rental fees, court reporter fees

9 and other costs, the Petitioner shall reimburse to the Respondent the actual cost for her
10 deposition incurred by the Respondent on or before the later of a) the date those are submitted to
11 Petitioner's counsel or b) July 31, 2009.

12 21. The Court finds that the United States and the State of California is the habitual
13 residence of the minor children.

14 22. The parties stipulated to the jurisdiction of this Court by both seeking permanent
15 relief and signing stipulations for orders as to visitation and other relief.

16 23. The Court strikes the Petition and allows the Respondent to proceed by default at
17 time of trial.

18 24. The Court further finds that the Petitioner sought the assistance of the Superior
19 Court of and for the County of Los Angeles and left without justification, leaving the Court with
20 no other option except to find that she abandoned the children and she bear the cost of the entire
21 litigation in this matter.

22 25. The parents are fully informed of their rights concerning child support.

23 26. Both parties must complete and file with the court a *Child Support Case Registry*
24 *Form* within ten days of the date of the entry of this Order. Thereafter, the parties must notify the
25 court of any change in the information submitted within ten days of the change by filing an
26 updated form.

27 27. The further orders and findings of the court as reflected in the court's transcript
28 for the hearing on March 17, 2009 at 8:30 AM in Department B of the above-entitled court are as
29 follows:

1 28. The court reserves jurisdiction to award additional fees for minor's counsel's fees
2 for any fees incurred after the date of March 17, 2009 as the court does not have a declaration
3 from minor's counsel for any additional fees and therefore reserves on that issue.

4 29. This was a custody matter that was filed by Petitioner on March 19, 2008 and the
5 parties were divorced in Jakarta on February 28, 2008. The court has no evidence that
6 Respondent kidnapped the children. There were no custody orders pertaining to the minor
7 children.

8 30. In Petitioner's Petition, she indicates that the minor children are of this
9 relationship and that Respondent is the father of the children. Therefore, paternity has been
10 established by the Petitioner under penalty of perjury.

11 31. The Respondent filed a Response to the Petition seeking sole legal custody and
12 sole physical custody and indicated that visitation would be supervised by a professional monitor.

13 32. The Respondent also sought child abduction orders and certain other orders.
14 Respondent did not object to this court having permanent jurisdiction and finding that the
15 children are subject to the jurisdiction of California as to custody, visitation and support.

16 33. Then a war broke out between the Petitioner and the Respondent in court. While
17 the Petitioner was originally at a hearing in this court on May 7, 2008. The parties reached a
18 stipulated visitation agreement where the father was going to have the care and custody of the
19 children and that mother would have reasonable visitation commencing May 12, 2008 with all
20 details to be decided by mutual agreement.

21 34. There was a stipulation at that time that the court had jurisdiction over the
22 children because California was their home state. Their habitual country of residence was the
23 United States of America and both parties are advised any violation of this court order would
24 result in civil or criminal penalties. The Petitioner signed that agreement as did the respondent.

25 35. On May 15, 2008, the court appointed Mr. Barnitt. And the Petitioner was present,
26 and the court ordered a child custody evaluation with each party to pay one-half of the cost and
27 the court acknowledged additional visitation for the Petitioner with the children on May 24th and
28 May 31st, 2008.

1 36. June 4, 2008, the Petitioner was not present. The court then indicated the children
2 were not to travel to Bali, and the passports were to be surrendered to minor's counsel. The
3 Petitioner and the Respondent were ordered to participate in counseling, and the matter was
4 continued until July 31st. The Petitioner was not present, but her counsel was present.

5 37. On July 31st, the Petitioner again was not present. The court ordered sole legal and
6 physical custody of the minor children to the Respondent. The Petitioner was allowed to have
7 visitation as arranged to by the parties. They were still to cooperate with the 730 evaluator. The
8 Petitioner was not allowed to remove the children from the United States without order of this

9 court. There was an order of support in the amount of \$10,747 per month, \$4,028 for Sean,
10 \$6,819 for Brenden, totaling \$10,747.

11 38. The court reserved jurisdiction at the time of the trial. There was then
12 Respondent's motion for a deposition. The court approved that motion to be heard in Bali in
13 October of 2008. The Petitioner was not present. The Petitioner's counsel was present. The court
14 on its own motion modified the order to be consistent with the case of *Beverly Hills National*
15 *Bank and Trust* 195 Cal. App. 2nd 861.

16 39. The matter was continued from December until January of 2009. Petitioner was to
17 be present and the Petitioner was not present. The court set an Order To Show Cause against the
18 Petitioner for her failure to appear at the deposition and comply with discovery. The Respondent
19 made a request for terminating sanctions which were provisionally granted. The court set a
20 settlement conference for March 3, 2009, and for trial on March 17, 2009. The Petitioner was
21 ordered to be present on March 3, 2009.

22 40. On March 3, 2009, the Petitioner was not present. The court ordered sanctions for
23 her failure to appear at the deposition and comply with discovery in the amount of \$1,000. The
24 court reserved on the issue of striking the Petitioner and allowing Respondent to proceed by
25 default.

26 41. The court notes the Petitioner was not present at the trial on Tuesday, March 17,
27 2009. the Petitioner has not complied with the court's orders for discovery. The Petitioner has
28 not complied with the court's order to comply or appear at the deposition. The Petitioner has not
29 complied with the court's order to comply with discovery. The Petitioner has not complied with

1 the court's order to appear in court. So consequently the court proceeded to strike the Petitioner's
2 Petition and allowed the Respondent to proceed by default.

3 42. The court makes these rulings based upon the fact that the Petitioner initiated the
4 proceedings in this court, sought this court's assistance, and then left the jurisdiction never to
5 return. There is no indication as to why, and the court knows that the Petitioner sought to stop the
6 court from exercising jurisdiction forgetting the fact that the Respondent had filed a Response
7 and sought relief of the court. The Petitioner left for reasons unproven or unsubstantiated with
8 this court. And, quite frankly, the court gave the Petitioner so many opportunities to come back

9 to court. The court gave the Petitioner as much visitation as possible every time the Petitioner
10 was in town. And it is the court's opinion that, for whatever reason, the Petitioner chose to return
11 to her family and her businesses, which was uncontroverted, in Bali. The court makes a finding
12 that Petitioner abandoned her children.

13 43. In the meantime, she caused the Respondent to expend funds to obtain relief that
14 she originally sought for herself. It is on that basis that the court determines that Petitioner should
15 have to bear the cost of the entire litigation in this matter. Minor's counsel was involved; a court
16 evaluator was involved; they were all involved initially by the initiation of the Petitioner, and she
17 left. The Petitioner has given the court absolutely no recourse. That is the order of the court.

18 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
19

20 Date:

21
22 Date:

4/29/09

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.
24

25 Date:

OCT 01 2009

Julie Duncan, Attorney for Petitioner

Patrick Barnitt, Attorney for Minor
Children

Susan L. Lopez-Giss

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. Certified Family Law Specialist Kendall & Gkikas, LLP. 143 Harvard Avenue, Second Floor Claremont, CA 91711 TELEPHONE NO.: 909-482-1422 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pomona, CA 91766-3229 BRANCH NAME: East District PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	FOR COURT USE ONLY ORIGINAL FILED OCT 01 2009 EAST DISTRICT
NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	CASE NUMBER: KD 073003

You are notified that the following judgment was entered on (date): **OCT 01 2009**

1. ☐ Dissolution
2. ☐ Dissolution—status only
3. ☐ Dissolution—reserving jurisdiction over termination of marital status or domestic partnership
4. ☐ Legal separation
5. ☐ Nullity
6. ☐ Parent-child relationship
7. ☐ Judgment on reserved issues
8. ☒ Other (specify): *Child custody, Child visitation, Child support*

Date: **OCT 01 2009**

Clerk, by  , Deputy

— NOTICE TO ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY —

Under the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1952, if no appeal is filed the court may order the exhibits destroyed or otherwise disposed of after 60 days from the expiration of the appeal time.

STATEMENT IN THIS BOX APPLIES ONLY TO JUDGMENT OF DISSOLUTION


Effective date of termination of marital or domestic partnership status (specify): *N/A*

WARNING: Neither party may remarry or enter into a new domestic partnership until the effective date of the termination of marital or domestic partnership status, as shown in this box.

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true copy of the *Notice of Entry of Judgment* was mailed first class, postage fully prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below, and that the notice was mailed at (place): *Pomona*, California, on (date): **OCT 01 2009**

Date: **OCT 01 2009**

Clerk, by  , Deputy

Name and address of petitioner or petitioner's attorney
 NI MADE JATI
 C/O JULIE ANNE DUNCAN, ESQ.
 245 FISCHER AVE, #A1
 COSTA MESA, CA. 92626

Name and address of respondent or respondent's attorney
 MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY
 C/O THOMAS E. KENDALL, ESQ.
 KENDALL & GKIKAS, LLP
 143 N. HARVARD AVE., 2ND FLOOR
 CLAREMONT, CA. 91711

Pengacara (Name, State Bar number, and address): Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. Certified Family Law Specialist Kendall & Gkikas, LLP. 143 Harvard Avenue, Second Floor Claremont, CA 91711 TELEPHONE NO.: 909-482-1422 FAX NO.: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	FOR COURT USE ONLY YANG ASLI TERDAFTAR ORIGINAL FILED OCT 01 2009 EAST DISTRICT CASE NUMBER: KD 073003
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pomona, CA 91766-3229 BRANCH NAME: East District	
PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI	
RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	
JUDGMENT PUTUSAN	

1. ☐ This judgment ☐ contains personal conduct restraining orders ☐ modifies existing restraining orders.
 The restraining orders are contained in item(s): _____ of the attachment.
 They expire on (date): _____ A CLETS form must be attached.
2. a. This matter proceeded as follows: ☐ Default or uncontested ☐ By declaration ☒ Ada 2 pihak melawan Room:
 b. Date: 3/17/09 Dept.: B
 c. Judicial officer (name): SUSAN LOPEZ-GISS ☐ Temporary judge
 d. ☐ Petitioner present ☒ Attorney present (name): JULIE DUNCAN
 e. ☒ Respondent present ☒ Attorney present (name): THOMAS E. KENDALL
 f. **Petitioner** (1) ☐ The petitioner appeared without counsel and was advised of relevant rights.
 (2) ☐ The petitioner signed *Advisement and Waiver of Rights Re: Establishment of Parental Relationship* (form FL-235).
 (3) ☐ The petitioner is married to the Respondent, and no other action is pending.
 (4) ☐ The petitioner signed a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity.
 (5) ☐ There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, juvenile, or adoption court case.
 g. **Respondent** (1) ☐ The respondent appeared without counsel and was advised of relevant rights.
 (2) ☐ The respondent signed *Advisement and Waiver of Rights Re: Establishment of Parental Relationship* (form FL-235).
 (3) ☐ The respondent is married to the Petitioner, and no other action is pending.
 (4) ☐ The respondent signed a Voluntary Declaration of Paternity.
 (5) ☐ There is a prior judgment of parentage in a family support, juvenile or adoption court case.
 h. Other parties or attorneys present (specify): _____
3. **THE COURT FINDS** **PENDAPAT PENGADILAN**
 Name: NI MADE JATI Ibu ☒ Mother ☐ Father
 Name: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY Bapak ☐ Mother ☒ Father
 are the parents of the following children:

<u>Child's name</u>	<u>Date of birth</u>
SEAN DONNELLY	3/17/93
BRENDEN DONNELLY	9/17/94
4. **THE COURT ORDERS** **PUTUSAN PENGADILAN**
 a. ☒ Kewajiban dan pengasuhan dan kunjungan seperti dijelaskan di pormulir terlampir
 Child custody and visitation are as specified in one or more of the attached forms:
 (1) ☐ Child Custody and Visitation Order Attachment (form FL-341)
 (2) ☐ Stipulation for Order for Child Custody and/or Visitation of Children (form FL-355)
 (3) ☒ Other (specify): ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT
 LAMPIRAN PADA PUTUSAN

PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI

CASE NUMBER:

RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY

KD 073003

5. THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS PENDAPAT PENGADILAN TAMBAHAN

a. ☒ Child support is as stated in one or more of the attached: Tunjangan anak-anak seperti terlampir:

(1) ☐ Child Support Information and Order Attachment (form FL-342)

(2) ☐ Stipulation to Establish or Modify Child Support and Order (form FL-350)

(3) ☒ Other (specify): ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT LAMPIRAN PADA PUTUSAN

b. Both parties must complete and file with the court a *Child Support Case Registry Form* (form FL-191) within 10 days of the date of this judgment. Thereafter, the parents must notify the court of any change in the information submitted, within 10 days of the change.

c. The form *Notice of Rights and Responsibilities—Health Care Costs and Reimbursement Procedures and Information Sheet on Changing a Child Support Order* (form FL-192) is attached.

d. ☐ The last names of the children are changed to (specify):

e. ☐ The birth certificates must be amended to conform to this court order by

(1) ☐ adding the father's name.

(2) ☐ changing the last name of the children.

f. ☒ Attorney fees and costs are as stated in the attachment. Biaya pengacara seperti terlampir

g. ☐ Reasonable expenses of pregnancy and birth are as stated in the attachment.

h. ☒ Other (specify): SEE ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT LAMPIRAN PADA PUTUSAN

☒ Continued on Attachment 3h. ATTACHMENT TO JUDGMENT

LAMPIRAN PADA PUTUSAN

6. Number of pages attached: 6

Date: XXXXXXXXXXXX

JUDICIAL OFFICER

☒ SIGNATURE FOLLOWS LAST ATTACHMENT

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

NOTICE: Any party required to pay child support must pay interest on overdue amounts at the "legal" rate, which is currently 10 percent.

1 Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. (State Bar #157891)
2 ***Certified Family Law Specialist***
3 ***California State Bar Association***
4 ***Board of Legal Specialization***
5 ***Kendall & Gikas, LLP***

P.O. Box 877, 143 Harvard Ave, 2nd Floor
Claremont, CA 91711
(909) 482-1422

Pengacara untuk: Michael Donnelly

8 PENGADILAN ATAS NEGARA BAGIAN CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, EAST DISTRICT

11 Hal:

12 NI MADE JATI,

13 Penggugat / Petitioner,

14 vs.

15 MICHAEL DONNELLY,

16 Tergugat / Respondent.

No. Perkara KD 073003

LAMPIRAN PADA PUTUSAN

18 BAHWA: Hal ini diajukan untuk persidangan pada tanggal 17 Maret 2009 pukul
19 08:30 pagi di Bagian B Pengadilan yang berhak di atas, Yang Mulia Susan Lopez-Giss,
20 Ketua Hakim. Tergugat hadir dengan Pengacara dan Penggugat tidak hadir tetapi diwakili
21 oleh Julie Anne Duncan untuk Penggugat, Thomas E. Kendall untuk Tergugat, dan Patrick
22 Barnitt tidak hadir untuk anak-anak di bawah umur, dan dengan alasan yang benar, dengan
23 ini diperitahkan sebagai berikut:

24 1. Pengadilan ini berpendapat bahwa Tergugat dan Penggugat adalah orang tua kedua
25 anak di bawah umur, Sean Wayan Donnelly, lahir tanggal 17 Maret 1993, dan Brenden Surya
26 Donnelly, lahir tanggal 17 September 1994.

27 2. Pengadilan berpendapat bahwa anak-anak ini dilahirkan dalam perkawinan yang
28 dilangsungkan di Los Angeles, pada tahun 1985.

29 3. Pengadilan memberi Tergugat hak asuh yang sah tunggal secara fisik atas anak-anak di bawah umur Sean Wayan Donnelly dan Brenden Surya Donnelly.

1 4. Penggugat hanya mempunyai kunjungan yang dipantau dengan anak-anak di bawah
2 umur dan dia harus bayar biayanya 100%.

3 5. Penggugat tidak boleh memindahkan anak-anak di bawah umur dari County of Los
4 Angeles, negara bagian California, dan / atau negara Amerika Serikat tanpa persetujuan
5 tertulis yang ditandatangani dan disahkan notaris Tergugat atau perintah selanjutnya dari
6 pengadilan ini.

7 6. Pengacara anak-anak di bawah umur, Patrick Barnitt, mengembalikan pasport anak-
8 anak kepada Tergugat.

9 7. Tergugat dapat mengadakan perjalanan dengan anak-anak di bawah umur keluar
10 negara bagian California dan keluar negara Amerika Serikat tanpa persetujuan atau perintah
11 pengadilan selanjutnya.

12 8. Pengadilan ini mempunyai yurisdiksi untuk membuat perintah hak asuh ini
13 berdasarkan Undang-Undang Seragam Yurisdiksi Hak Asuh Anak dan Pemberlakuan
14 (Bagian 3 Undang-Undang Keluarga California dimulai dengan Pasal 3048).

15 9. Setiap referensi dalam dokumen ini pada “anak di bawah umur” mengacu pada
16 setiap anak di bawah umur yang diuraikan di atas kecuali dirinci lain.

17 10. Negara bagian dan tempat tinggal masing-masing anak di bawah umur untuk semua
18 tujuan, termasuk yurisdiksi hak asuh anak antar negara bagian dan internasional adalah
19 California.

20 11. Kedua pihak telah diberi pemberitahuan dan kesempatan untuk didengar
21 sebagaimana ditentukan oleh hukum Negara Bagian California.

22 12. Negara tempat tinggal anak dalam perkara ini adalah Amerika Serikat. Jika satu
23 pihak melanggar perintah ini, pihak bisa dikenakan denda perdata atau pidana, atau
24 keduanya.

25 13. Pengacara anak di bawah umur, Patrick Barnitt, dibebaskan.

26 14. Pengacara anak di bawah umur dapat mengajukan deklarasi imbal jasa kepada
27 pengadilan ini dan pengadilan ini memerintahkan Penggugat untuk membayar segala
28 tambahan imbal jasa pengacara anak di bawah umur yang terhutang kepada Tn. Barnett

29 15. Penggugat diperintah memberi penggantian kepada Tergugat uang sejumlah
US\$1,333 yang sudah dibayarkan kepada Tn. Barnitt untuk imbal jasa pengacara anak di
bawah umur pada atau sebelum tanggal 1 Juli 2009.

16. Mengenai dan untuk imbal jasa pengacara, biaya dan sangksi, Penggugat harus
membayar kepada Tergugat US\$30,000 pada atau sebelum tanggal 1 Juli 2009.

1 17. Penggugat harus membayar Tergugat sebagai dan untuk tunjangan anak-anak
2 sejumlah US\$4,029 untuk Sean Wayan Donnelly, lahir tanggal 17 Maret 1993, dan US\$6,719
3 untuk Brenden Surya Donnelly, lahir tanggal 17 September 1994, seluruh berjumlah
4 US\$10,747 setiap bulan terhitung tanggal 1 Juni 2008 yang harus dibayarkan setengahnya
5 pada tanggal 1 dan setengahnya pada tanggal 15 setiap bulan dan berlangsung setiap bulan
6 setelah itu sampai masing-masing anak di bawah umur mencapai usia delapan belas (18)
7 tahun dan lulus dari Sekolah Menengah Atas dan mencapai usia sembilan belas (19) tahun,
atau sampai perintah Pengadilan selanjutnya, mana saja terjadi terlebih dahulu.

8 18. Permohonan Tergugat untuk perubahan keputusan yang dibuat untuk mendukung
9 [tunjangan] sebelumnya dengan demikian ditolak, dan keputusan sebelumnya mengenai
10 tunjangan anak tetap mempunyai kekuatan hukum..

11 19. Ini merupakan putusan tetap mengenai hak asuh, kunjungan, tunjangan, dan imbal
12 jasa pengacara.

13 20. Setelah Tergugat menyampaikan kepada pengacara Penggugat daftar terinci semua
14 biaya berkenaan pengeluaran [untuk deposisi], termasuk tetapi tidak terbatas pada biaya sewa
15 hotel, biaya reporter pengadilan / notaris, dan biaya-biaya lain, Penggugat harus mengembalikan
16 kepada Tergugat biaya nyata untuk pengaturan Penggugat yang dikeluarkan Tergugat, pada
17 atau sebelum tanggal a) yang disampaikan kepada pengacara Penggugat atau b) tanggal 31
18 Juli 2009.

19 21. Pengadilan berpendapat bahwa Amerika Serikat dan negara bagian California
20 merupakan tempat tinggal yang tetap anak-anak di bawah umur.

21 22. Para pihak tunduk pada yurisdiksi Pengadilan ini dengan keduanya mengupayakan
22 keringanan / bantuan tetap atau putusan dan menandatangani ketentuan untuk perintah
23 mengenai kunjungan dan keringanan / bantuan lain.

24 23. Pengadilan mencoret Penggugat dan mengizinkan Tergugat untuk melanjutkan
25 tanpa keharian Penggugat pada waktu sidang.

26 24. Pengadilan selanjutnya berpendapat bahwa Penggugat mengupayakan bantuan
27 Pengadilan Atas County Los Angeles, dan meninggalkan tanpa alasan yang dapat dibenarkan,
28 sehingga Pengadilan ini tanpa opsi lain kecuali bahwa dia (Penggugat) telah menelantarkan
29 anak-anak, dan dia menanggung biaya seluruh litigasi dalam hal ini.

25 25. Orang tua sepenuhnya diberitahukan tentang hak-hak mereka tentang tunjangan
anak-anak.

26. Kedua pihak harus mengisi dan mengajukan kepada Pengadilan ini *Pormulir Pendaftaran Perkara Tunjangan Anak-Anak* dalam waktu 10 (sepuluh) hari terhitung tanggal didaftarkanya Perintah ini. Setelah itu, para pihak harus memberitahukan Pengadilan tentang perubahan dalam informasi yang disampaikan dalam waktu 10 (sepuluh) hari mengenai perubahan dengan mengajukan formulir mutakhir.

27. Perintah dan pendapat Pengadilan selanjutnya sebagaimana tercermin dalam transkrip pengadilan untuk sidang tanggal 17 Maret 2009 pukul 08:30 pagi di Bagian B di Pengadilan yang berhak di atas adalah sebagai berikut:

28. Pengadilan tetap menggunakan yurisdiksi untuk memberi biaya tambahan untuk imbalan pengacara anak-anak di bawah umur atas imbal jasa yang harus dikeluarkan setelah tanggal 17 Maret 2009 karena pengadilan tidak mempunyai deklarasi dari pengacara anak di bawah umur untuk imbal jasa tambahan dan karena itu pada masalah itu.

29. Ini adalah masalah hak asuh yang diajukan oleh Penggugat pada tanggal 19 Maret 2008, dan para pihak sudah bercerai di Jakarta tanggal 28 Pebruari 2008. Pengadilan tidak mempunyai bukti bahwa Tergugat menculik anak-anak. Tidak ada putusan hak asuhan anak di bawah umur.

30. Dalam petisi Penggugat, dia memberi indikasi bahwa anak-anak di bawah umur adalah dalam hubungan ini dan bahwa Tergugat adalah ayah anak-anak itu. Oleh karena itu urusan orang tua telah ditentukan oleh Penggugat [di bawah sumpah dengan denda kalau] memberi keterangan palsu.

31. Tergugat mengajukan Jawaban kepada Penggugat yang mengupayakan hak asuh sah dan hak asuh fisik tunggal dan [memohon] bahwa kunjungan harus diawasi oleh pemantau profesional.

32. [Tergugat memohon perintah menjaga terhadap penculikan anak dan beberapa perintah lain.] Tergugat tidak menolak Pengadilan ini mempunyai yurisdiksi tetap dan berpendapat bahwa anak-anak tunduk pada yurisdiksi California mengenai hak asuh, kunjungan, dan tunjangan.

33. Kemudian terjadi peperangan antara Penggugat dan Tergugat di pengadilan. Sedangkan Penggugat pada awalnya dalam sidang dalam pengadilan ini pada tanggal 7 Mei 2008, para pihak menyetujui ketentuan kunjungan di mana ayah memperoleh pemeliharaan dan hak asuh dan bahwa ibu memperoleh dan diberi izin kunjungan yang wajar terhitung 12 Mei 2008, dengan semua rincian yang akan ditentukan dengan persetujuan bersama.

34. Ada ketentuan pada waktu itu bahwa Pengadilan mempunyai yurisdiksi atas anak-anak karena California adalah domisili negara bagian tempat tinggal mereka. Negara di mana mereka tinggal adalah negara Amerika Serikat dan kedua pihak diberitahukan bahwa terhadap pelanggaran keputusan Pengadilan ini akan menyebabkan denda pidana atau perdata. Penggugat menandatangani persetujuan itu begitu pula Tergugat.

35. Pada tanggal 15 Mei 2008, Pengadilan menunjukan Tn. Barnitt, dan Penggugat hadir, dan Pengadilan memerintahkan evaluasi [psychologist] hak asuh anak di mana masing-masing pihak membayar biaya setengah, dan Pengadilan mengakui kunjungan tambahan untuk Penggugat dengan anak-anak pada tanggal 24 Mei dan 31 Mei 2008.

36. Pada tanggal 4 Juni 2008, Penggugat tidak hadir. Pengadilan kemudian memberi indikasi [memerintahkan] bahwa anak-anak tidak boleh mengadakan perjalanan ke Bali, dan bahwa paspor mereka diserahkan kepada pengacara anak-anak di bawah umur. Penggugat dan Tergugat diperintahkan untuk berpartisipasi dalam konseling, dan hal ini dilanjutkan sampai tanggal 31 Juli. Penggugat tidak hadir tetapi pengacaranya hadir.

37. Pada tanggal 31 Juli, Penggugat lagi-lagi tidak hadir. Pengadilan memerintahkan hak asuh dan fisik tunggal atas anak-anak di bawah umur kepada Tergugat. Penggugat diizinkan kunjungan sebagaimana diatur oleh para pihak. Mereka masih diperintahkan bekerjasama dengan penilai 730 [psychologist]. Penggugat tidak diperkenankan untuk memindahkan anak-anak dari Amerika Serikat tanpa putusan pengadilan ini. Ada perintah tunjangan anak-anak sejumlah US\$10,747 per bulan, US\$4,028 untuk Sean, US\$6,719 untuk Brenden, total US\$10,747.

38. Pengadilan mempunyai yurisdiksi pada waktu persidangan. Pada saat ini Tergugat mengusulkan ketentuan pengaturan [deposisi]. Pengadilan menyetujui usulan itu untuk disidangkan di Bali pada bulan Oktober 2008. Penggugat tidak hadir, pengacara Penggugat hadir. Pengadilan atas usulannya sendiri mengubah perintah agar menjadi konsisten dengan perkara Beverly Hills National Bank and Trust, 195 cal. App 2nd 861.

39. Hal tersebut dilanjutkan dari Desember sampai Januari 2009. Penggugat harus hadir tetapi Penggugat tidak hadir. Pengadilan menentukan perintah kepada Penggugat untuk hadir karena tidak hadir dalam deposisi dan tidak memenuhi pengungkapan dokumen mengenai fakta. Tergugat mengajukan permohonan untuk memutuskan sanksi di mana sementara dikabulkan. Pengadilan mengadakan perundingan penyelesaian untuk tanggal 3 Maret 2009 dan untuk sidang tanggal 17 Maret 2009. Penggugat diperintahkan untuk hadir pada tanggal 3 Maret 2009.

1 40. Pada tanggal 3 Maret 2009 Penggugat tidak hadir. Pengadilan memerintahkan
2 sanksi atas ketidak-hadiran waktu deposisi dan memenuhi pengungkapan dokumen mengenai
3 fakta sejumlah US\$1000. Pengadilan memutuskan masalah mencoret petisi Penggugat dan
4 mengizinkan Tergugat untuk melanjutkan tanpa kehadiran Penggugat.

5 41. Pengadilan mencatat bahwa Penggugat tidak hadir pada sidang hari Selasa 17 Maret
6 2009. Penggugat tidak memenuhi perintah pengadilan untuk pengungkapan dokumen
7 mengenai fakta. Penggugat tidak memenuhi perintah pengadilan untuk menghadap pada
8 ketentuan pengaturan [deposisi]. Penggugat tidak memenuhi perintah pengadilan untuk
9 menghadap di pengadilan. Jadi sebagai akibatnya Pengadilan melanjutkan tanpa kehadiran
10 Penggugat.

11 42. Pengadilan membuat putusan ini berdasarkan fakta bahwa Penggugat memulai
12 tindakan hukum dalam pengadilan ini, mengupayakan bantuan pengadilan dan kemudian
13 meninggalkan yurisdiksi untuk tidak pernah kembali lagi. Tidak ada indikasi mengenai
14 alasannya, dan Pengadilan mengetahui bahwa Penggugat mengupayakan menghentikan
15 pengadilan untuk menjalankan yurisdiksi, dengan melupakan bahwa Tergugat telah
16 mengajukan Jawaban dan mengupayakan keringanan / bantuan Pengadilan ini. Penggugat
17 meninggalkan dengan alasan yang tidak dibuktikan atau didukung kepada pengadilan ini.
18 Dan, sejujurnya, Pengadilan telah memberikan begitu banyak kesempatan untuk kembali lagi
19 kepada pengadilan ini. Pengadilan memberi Penggugat sebanyak mungkin kunjungan setiap
20 waktu Penggugat ada di kota. Dan Pengadilan berpendapat bahwa, karena alasan apapun
21 juga, Penggugat memilih kembali ke keluarganya dan bisnis-bisnisnya yang tidak bisa
22 didebatkan, di Bali. Pengadilan ini berpendapat bahwa Penggugat menelantarkan anak-
23 anaknya.

24 43. Sementara itu, dia (Penggugat) menyebabkan Tergugat untuk mengeluarkan dana
25 untuk memperoleh keringinan / bantuan yang pada awalnya dia (Made Jati) mengupayakan
26 untuk diri sendiri. Atas dasar itulah Pengadilan memutuskan bahwa Penggugat harus
27 memikul biaya seluruh litigasi dalam hal ini. Pengacara anak di bawah umur terlibat. Penilai
28 pengadilan [psychologist] terlibat. Mereka semua terlibat pada awalnya atas inisiatif
29 Penggugat dan dia pergi. Penggugat sama sekali tidak [memberi Pengadilan pilihan lain].
Itulah putusan Pengadilan.

1 SETUJU MENGENAI BENTUK DAN ISINYA

2 Tanggal:

3 _____
4 Julie Duncan, Pengacara Penggugat

5 Tanggal:

6 29 Maret 2009

7 _____
8 (ttg)

9 Patrick Barnett, Pengacara Anak-Anak

10 DEMIKIAN DIPERINTAHKAN

11 _____
12 Susan L Lopez-Giss

13 Tanggal:

14 01 Oktober 2009

15 _____
16 HAKIM PENGADILAN TINGGI

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Thomas E. Kendall, Esq. Certified Family Law Specialist Kendall & Gkikas, LLP. 143 Harvard Avenue, Second Floor Claremont, CA 91711 TELEPHONE NO.: 909-482-1422 FAX NO. (Optional): E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY		FOR COURT USE ONLY YANG ASLI TERDAFTAR ORIGINAL FILED OCT 01 2009 EAST DISTRICT
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pomona, CA 91766-3229 BRANCH NAME: East District		
PETITIONER: NI MADE JATI RESPONDENT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY		
PEMBERITAHUAN PENDAFTARAN PUTUSAN		
		CASE NUMBER: KD 073003

You are notified that the following judgment was entered on (date): **OCT 01 2009**

1. ☐ Dissolution
2. ☐ Dissolution—status only
3. ☐ Dissolution—reserving jurisdiction over termination of marital status or domestic partnership
4. ☐ Legal separation
5. ☐ Nullity
6. ☐ Parent-child relationship
7. ☐ Judgment on reserved issues
8. ☒ Other (specify): **Child custody, Child visitation, Child support**
Kewalian dan pengasuhan anak-anak, kunjungan anak-anak, tunjangan anak-anak

Date: **OCT 01 2009**

Clerk, by  , Deputy

— NOTICE TO ATTORNEY OF RECORD OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY —

Under the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 1952, if no appeal is filed the court may order the exhibits destroyed or otherwise disposed of after 60 days from the expiration of the appeal time.

STATEMENT IN THIS BOX APPLIES ONLY TO JUDGMENT OF DISSOLUTION

Effective date of termination of marital or domestic partnership status (specify): **n/a**

WARNING: Neither party may remarry or enter into a new domestic partnership until the effective date of the termination of marital or domestic partnership status, as shown in this box.


CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgment was mailed first class, postage fully prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below, and that the notice was mailed

at (place): **Pomona**

California, on (date): **OCT 01 2009**

Date: **OCT 01 2009**

Clerk, by  , Deputy

Name and address of petitioner or petitioner's attorney

NI MADE JATI
 C/O JULIE ANNE DUNCAN, ESQ.
 245 FISCHER AVE, #A1
 COSTA MESA, CA. 92626

Name and address of respondent or respondent's attorney

MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY
 C/O THOMAS E. KENDALL, ESQ.
 KENDALL & GKIKAS, LLP
 143 N. HARVARD AVE., 2ND FLOOR
 CLAREMONT, CA. 91711

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: NI MADE JATI RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY OTHER PARTY:		FOR COURT USE ONLY CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court Of California County Of Los Angeles JUL 10 2014 Sherril R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By: D. Kistler, Deputy
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles STREET ADDRESS: 300 Civic Center Plaza MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pomona 91766-3229 BRANCH NAME: East District		
FINDINGS AND ORDER AFTER HEARING		CASE NUMBER: KD073003

1. This proceeding was heard on (date): July 10, 2014 at (time): 8:30 AM in Dept.: B Room: 205 by Judge (name): Susan Lopez-Giss ☐ Temporary Judge
- On the order to show cause, notice of motion or request for order filed (date): 6/26/14 by (name): Michael P. Donnelly
- a. ☐ Petitioner/plaintiff present ☐ Attorney present (name):
 b. ☒ Respondent/defendant present ☐ Attorney present (name):
 c. ☐ Other party present ☐ Attorney present (name):

THE COURT ORDERS

2. Custody and visitation/parenting time: As attached ☐ on form FL-341 ☐ Other ☐ Not applicable
3. Child support: As attached ☐ on form FL-342 ☐ Other ☐ Not applicable
4. Spousal or family support: As attached ☐ on form FL-343 ☐ Other ☐ Not applicable
5. Property orders: As attached ☐ on form FL-344 ☐ Other ☐ Not applicable
6. Attorney's fees: As attached ☐ on form FL-346 ☐ Other ☐ Not applicable
7. Other orders: ☒ As attached ☐ Not applicable
8. All other issues are reserved until further order of court.
9. ☐ This matter is continued for further hearing on (date): at (time): in Dept.: on the following issues:

Date: 7/10/14

Approved as conforming to court order.

JUDICIAL OFFICER

Susan L. Lopez-Giss

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY FOR ☐ PETITIONER / PLAINTIFF ☐ RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT ☐ OTHER PARTY

Michael Patrick Donnelly (*pro per*)
650 E. Bonita Avenue, #207
San Dimas, CA 91773

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, EAST DISTRICT

In re the Matter of:

NI MADE JATI,

Petitioner,

vs.

MICHAEL DONNELLY,

Respondent.

Case No. KD 073003

ATTACHMENT TO
ORDER AFTER HEARING

WHEREAS: The matter was presented in hearing on July 10, 2014 at 8:30 AM in Department B of the above-entitled court, the Honorable Susan Lopez-Giss, Judge presiding. The Court makes the finding that:


- The court issued a Judgement ordering payment of Child Support and Attorney's Fees by the Petitioner to the Respondent in trial March 17, 2009.
- The Judgement of this court was ^{served upon} ~~communicated to the~~ Petitioner in ~~an~~ ^{accordance with the law} appropriate manner.
- To the present date, the Petitioner has not paid to the Respondent any of the Child Support or Attorney's Fees ordered by this court, and the amount owed by the Petitioner to the Respondent has accrued Penalties, and Interest.
- The amount now owed by the Petitioner to the Respondent for outstanding Child

ATTACHMENT TO ORDER AFTER HEARING (1)

1 Support, Attorney's Fees, Penalties, and Interest totals \$1,112,053.73 as of July
2 10, 2014

- 3 • Interest on the above amount owed by Petitioner to Respondent continues to
4 accrue interest at \$214.51 per day as long as the total remains unpaid.
- 5 • The above amount \$1,112,053.73 and continuing interest is the result of a valid
6 Support Order by this court and remains an outstanding enforceable obligation
7 for payment by the Petitioner to the Respondent.
8

9
10
11 DATE: July 10, 2014
12

13
14 

15 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

16 Susan L. Lopez-Giss
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

ATTACHMENT TO ORDER AFTER HEARING (2)

EJ-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, State Bar number, and telephone number):

Recording requested by and return to:

Michael Patrick Donnelly
650 E. Bonita Avenue, # 207
San Dimas, CA 91773, U.S.A.
1 (909) 267 4585

☐ ATTORNEY FOR ☒ JUDGMENT CREDITOR ☐ ASSIGNEE OF RECORD

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles

STREET ADDRESS: 400 Civic Center Plaza

MAILING ADDRESS: Same

CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pomona, CA 91766-3229

BRANCH NAME: East District

FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

PLAINTIFF: NI MADE JATI

DEFENDANT: MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY

CASE NUMBER:

KD073003

**ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL
AND SMALL CLAIMS**

☐ Amended

FOR COURT USE ONLY

1. The ☒ judgment creditor ☐ assignee of record applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the following:

a. Judgment debtor's

Name and last known address

Ni Made Jati
Jalan Pengembak III/29
Sanur, Bali, Indonesia

b. Driver's license no. [last 4 digits] and state: none

c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]: 4474

d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personally served or mailed to (name and address): N/A

☐ Unknown

☐ Unknown

2. ☐ Information on additional judgment debtors is shown on page 2.

3. Judgment creditor (name and address):

Michael Patrick Donnelly, 650 E. Bonita
Avenue, # 207, San Dimas CA 91773

Date: July 11, 2014

MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY


(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

4. ☐ Information on additional judgment creditors is shown on page 2.

5. ☐ Original abstract recorded in this county:

a. Date:

b. Instrument No.:



(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)

6. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed:
\$ 1,112,053.73

7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract.

8. a. Judgment entered on (date): July 10, 2014

b. Renewal entered on (date):

9. ☐ This judgment is an installment judgment.

10. ☐ An ☐ execution lien ☐ attachment lien is endorsed on the judgment as follows:

a. Amount: \$

b. In favor of (name and address):

11. A stay of enforcement has

a. ☒ not been ordered by the court.

b. ☐ been ordered by the court effective until (date):

12. a. ☒ I certify that this is a true and correct abstract of the judgment entered in this action.

b. ☐ A certified copy of the judgment is attached.



This abstract issued on (date):

JUL 16 2014 SHERRI R. CARTER

Clerk, by

G. MORENO

Deputy

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use
Judicial Council of California
EJ-001 [Rev. January 1, 2008]

**ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL
AND SMALL CLAIMS**

Page 1 of 2
Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 488.480,
674, 700.190

DE JATI
MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY

CASE NUMBER:

KD073003

AND ADDRESSES OF ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT CREDITORS:

Judgment creditor (*name and address*):

14. Judgment creditor (*name and address*):

15. ☐ Continued on Attachment 15.

INFORMATION ON ADDITIONAL JUDGMENT DEBTORS:

16. Name and last known address

Driver's license no. [last 4 digits]
and state:

☐ Unknown

Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

☐ Unknown

Summons was personally served at or mailed to (*address*):

17. Name and last known address

Driver's license no. [last 4 digits]
and state:

☐ Unknown

Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

☐ Unknown

Summons was personally served at or mailed to (*address*):

18. Name and last known address

Driver's license no. [last 4 digits]
and state:

☐ Unknown

Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

☐ Unknown

Summons was personally served at or mailed to (*address*):

19. Name and last known address

Driver's license no. [last 4 digits]
and state:

☐ Unknown

Social security no. [last 4 digits]:

☐ Unknown

Summons was personally served at or mailed to (*address*):

20. ☐ Continued on Attachment 20.

Pengacara atau nama orang yang hadir tanpa pengacara Michael Patrick Donnelly 650 E. Bonita Avenue, #207 San Dimas, CA 91773, U.S.A. Telephone No 1(909)264 4585 Email mpdkayun@hotmail.com Pengacara untuk: pro per	
PENGADILAN ATAS CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles Alamat 400 Civic Center Plaza Pos Sama Kota dan Kode Pos Pomona, CA, 91766-3229 Cabang East District	
PENGGUGAT NI MADE JATI TERGUGAT MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	
PENDAPAT DAN PERINTAH SETELAH PERSIDANGAN	Kasus Nomor: KD073003

1. Persidangan ini dilangsungkan
 pada (tanggal) 10 Juli 2014 pukul 08:30 di Departemen B Kamar 205
 di hadapan Hakim Susan Lopez-Giss
 Mengenai order to show cause / panggilan, atau permohonan 26 Juni 2014 oleh Michael Patrick Donnelly
 perintah pengadilan didaftarkan pada tanggal

- | | |
|--|---|
| a. ☐ Respondent / Tergugat hadir | ☐ Pengacara hadir (nama) |
| b. ☒ Respondent / Tergugat hadir | ☐ Pengacara hadir (nama) |
| c. ☐ Orang lain hadir | ☐ Pengacara hadir (nama) |

PENGADILAN INI MEMERINTAH

- | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 2. Pengasuhan dan kunjungan | Seperti terlampir ☐ di pormulir FL-341 | ☐ lain | ☐ tidak relevan |
| 3. Tunjangan anak-anak | Seperti terlampir ☐ di pormulir FL-342 | ☐ lain | ☐ tidak relevan |
| 4. Tunjangan keluarga | Seperti terlampir ☐ di pormulir FL-343 | ☐ lain | ☐ tidak relevan |
| 5. Penetapan harta keluarga | Seperti terlampir ☐ di pormulir FL-344 | ☐ lain | ☐ tidak relevan |
| 6. Biaya pengacara | Seperti terlampir ☐ di pormulir FL-346 | ☐ lain | ☐ tidak relevan |
| 7. Perintah lain | ☒ seperti terlampir | | ☐ tidak relevan |
| 8. Semua hal-hal lain tetap seperti sudah diperintah sampai persidangan selanjutnya. | | | |
| 9. ☐ Kasus ini diperintah disidangkan selanjutnya pada (tanggal) | | pukul | Departemen |

Tanggal 11 Juli 2014

► TTG / cap Susan Lopez-Giss

Pejabat Pengadilan

Disetujui sesuai dengan perintah pengadilan

Tanda tangan pengacara untuk

☐ Penggugat ☐ Tergugat ☐ lain

Lembar 1 dari 1

PENDAPAT DAN PERINTAH SETELAH PERSIDANGAN

(Hukum Keluarga—Pengasuhan dan Tunjangan Anak-Anak—Hak Keturunan)

Michael Patrick Donnelly (*pro per*)
650 E. Bonita Avenue, #207
San Dimas, CA 91773

PENGADILAN ATAS CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, EAST DISTRICT

Hal:

NI MADE JATI,

Petitioner / Penggugat,

vs.

MICHAEL DONNELLY,

Respondent / Tergugat

Kasus No. KD 073003

LAMPIRAN PADA

ORDER AFTER HEARING /

PERINTAH SETELAH PERSIDANGAN

BAHWA: Hal ini disidangkan pada tanggal 10 Juli 2014 pukul 08:30 di Departemen B di pengadilan tersebut, di hadapan Yang Terhormat Hakim Susan Lopez-Giss.

Pengadilan ini mengeluarkan pendapat dan putusan bahwa:

- Pengadilan ini telah mengeluarkan Putusan yang memerintah pembayaran Tunjangan Anak-Anak dan Biaya Pengacara dari Petitioner / Penggugat pada Respondent / Tergugat di putusan sidang tanggal 17 Maret 2009.
- Putusan pengadilan ini disampaikan pada Petitioner / Penggugat sesuai dengan hukum.
- Sampai saat ini, Petitioner / Penggugat sama sekali belum bayar pada Respondent / Tergugat Tunjangan Anak-Anak dan Biaya Pengacara yang telah

LAMPIRIAN PADA ORDER AFTER HEARING (1)

diperintah oleh pengadilan ini, dan utang Petitioner / Penggugat pada Respondent / Tergugat sudah ditambah biaya Denda dan Bunga.

- Jumlah utang yang pada saat ini adalah utang sah dari Petitioner / Penggugat pada Respondent / Tergugat untuk Tunjangan Anak-Anak, Biaya Pengacara, Denda, dan Bunga sudah berjumlah sampai \$1,112,053.73 (Rp 12.677.412.522) dihitung pada tanggal July 10, 2014.
- Bunga pada jumlah tersebut yang Petitioner / Penggugat berutang pada Respondent / Tergugat menetap menambah bunga sebesar \$214.51 (Rp 2.445.414) per hari selama utang ini belum dilunaskan.
- Jumlah tersebut yang diurai di atas \$1,112,053.73 (Rp 12.677.412.522) serta dengan bunga per hari adalah hasil dari Perintah Sah dari pengadilan ini dan tetap sebagai utang sah yang bisa dieksekusikan untuk pembayaran dari Petitioner / Penggugat pada Respondent / Tergugat.

TANGGAL: 10 July, 2014

HAKIM PENGADILAN ATAS CALIFORNIA

TTG / CAP SUSAN LOPEZ-GISS

LAMPIRIAN PADA ORDER AFTER HEARING (2)

Pengacara atau nama orang yang hadir Michael Patrick Donnelly 650 E. Bonita Avenue, #207 San Dimas, CA 91773, U.S.A. 1(909)264 4585 ☐ Pengacara ☒ Kreditor putusan ☐ Kreditor lain	
PENGADILAN ATAS CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles Alamat 400 Civic Center Plaza Pos Sama Kota dan Kode Pos Pomona, CA, 91766-3229 Cabang East District	

PENGUGUT NI MADE JATI TERGUGAT MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	Kasus Nomor: KD073003
RINGKASAN PUTUSAN - PERDATA	

☒ Kreditor putusan ☐ Orang lain yang berhak kreditor utang memohon ringkasan putusan sebagai berikut:		Untuk dipakai pengadilan saja:
a. Yang kewajiban utang (nama dan alamat) Ni Made Jati Jalan Pengembak III/29 Sanur, Bali, Indonesia		
b. Nomor SIM dan Negara Bagian (4 huruf akhir) Tidak ada		
c. Jaminian Sosial A.S. (4 huruf akhir) 4474		
d. Panggilan dari Negara Bagian lain Tidak relevan		
2. ☐ Informasi tentang orang-orang lain yang berkewajiban utang terlampir.	4. ☐ Informasi tentang orang-orang lain yang berhak utang terlampir.	
3. Kreditor putusan (nama dan alamat) Michael Patrick Donnelly, 650 E. Bonita Avenue, #207, San Dimas CA 91773		
5.. ☐ Ringkasan Putusan asli telah didaftarkan di kabupaten ini: a. Tanggal: b. Surat nomor:.		

Tanggal 11 Juli 2014

MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY



TTG

Nama dicetak	Tanda tangan
6. Jumlah putusan menurut putusan atau pendaftaran setelah persidangan terakhir: \$1,112,053.73	10. ☐ Penetapan eksekusi ☐ Penetapan sita terlampir pada putusan sebagai berikut: a. Jumlah: b. Bayar pada:
7. Semua kreditor pada putusan ini sudah didaftarkan selengkapya di ringkasan ini.	11. Penetapan eksekusi ditunda ☒ tidak diperintah oleh pengadilan ini ☐ diperintah oleh pengadilan ini sampai tanggal:
8. a. Putusan didaftarkan pada (tanggal): 10 Juli 2014 b. Pembaruan didaftarkan pada (tanggal):	11. a. ☒ Saya memberi keterangan di bawah sumpah bahwa ringkasan ini adalah benar dan lengkap sesuai dengan putusan pengadilan ini. b. ☐ Copy sertifikasi putusan ini terlampir.
9. ☐ Putusan ini ada putusan cicilan.	TTG TTG Panitera, dari _____, Wakil

SEGAL

Ringkasan putusan ini dikeluarkan
(tanggal)

16 Juli 2014

RINGKASAN PUTUSAN - PERDATA

Lembar 1 dari 2

PENGUGAT	NI MADE JATI	Kasus Nomor:
TERGUGAT	MICHAEL PATRICK DONNELLY	KD073003

Nama dan Alamat Kreditor-Kreditor Tambahan:

13 14

15 ☐ Ada lampiran

Informasi Debitor Tambahan

16 17

18 19

20 ☐ Ada lampiran

